

Cyclamen alcohol

CAS-No.: 4756-19-8 The scope of this Standard includes, but is not limited to the CAS number(s) indicated above; any other CAS number(s) used to identify this fragrance ingredient should be considered in scope as well. 3-(4-Isopropylphenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol Synonyms: 3-(p-Isopropyl)phenyl-2-methyl-1-propanol Benzenepropanol, . β.-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)-History: Publication date: 1980 (Amendment 4) Previous 1977 Publications: 1978 Not applicable. **Implementation** For new creation*: dates: Not applicable. For existing creation*: *These dates apply to the supply of fragrance mixtures (formulas) only, not to the finished consumer products in the marketplace. **RECOMMENDATION: PROHIBITION / SPECIFICATION** FRAGRANCE INGREDIENT PROHIBITION: Cyclamen alcohol should not be used as a fragrance ingredient. FRAGRANCE INGREDIENT SPECIFICATION: Cyclamen alcohol should not be used as a fragrance ingredient as such, but a level of up to 1.5% in Cyclamen aldehyde (CAS number 103-95-7) is accepted.

CONTRIBUTIONS FROM OTHER SOURCES:

NONE TO CONSIDER BEYOND TRACES (SEE ALSO THE SECTION ON CONTRIBUTIONS FROM OTHER SOURCES IN CHAPTER 1 OF THE GUIDANCE FOR THE USE OF IFRA STANDARDS)

INTRINSIC PROPERTY DRIVING RISK DERMAL SENSITIZATION MANAGEMENT:

EXPERT PANEL FOR FRAGRANCE SAFETY RATIONALE / CONCLUSION:

The Expert Panel for Fragrance Safety reviewed all the available data for Cyclamen alcohol and recommends not to use Cyclamen alcohol as or in fragrance ingredients in any finished product application other than described in the above fragrance ingredient specification.

REFERENCES:



Cyclamen alcohol

The IFRA Standard on Cyclamen alcohol is based on at least one of the following publications:

- The RIFM Safety Assessment on Cyclamen alcohol is available at the RIFM Safety Assessment Sheet Database: http://fragrancematerialsafetyresource.elsevier.com/.
- Api A.M., Belsito D., Bruze M., Cadby P., Calow P., Dagli M. L., Dekant W., Dent M., Ellis G., Fryer A. D., Fukayama M., Griem P., Hickey C., Kromidas L., Lalko J., Liebler D.C., Miyachi Y., Politano V.T., Renskers K., Ritacco G., Salvito D., Schultz T.W., Sipes I. G., Smith B., Vitale D., Wilcox D.K. (2015). Criteria for the Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc. (RIFM) safety evaluation process for fragrance ingredients. Food Chem Toxicol. 2015 Aug;82 Suppl:S1-S19 (doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2014.11.014). (http://fragrancematerialsafetyresource.elsevier.com/sites/default/files/Criteria_Document_Final.pdf).
- IDEA project (International Dialogue for the Evaluation of Allergens) Final Report on the QRA2: Skin Sensitisation Quantitative Risk Assessment for Fragrance Ingredients, September 30, 2016 (http://www.ideaproject.info/uploads/Modules/Documents/qra2-dossier-final--september-2016.pdf).
- Salvito D.T., Senna R. J., Federle T.W. (2002). A framework for prioritizing fragrance materials for aquatic risk assessment. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2002;21:1301-1308. (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12069318).
- D.L.J. Opdyke (1979), Fd. Cosmet. Toxicol. 17, 267.

Additional information on the application of IFRA Standards is available in the Guidance for the use of IFRA Standards, publicly available at www.ifrafragrance.org.